

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 27, 2001 LB 166

court case is completed. James Schaefer, S-c-h-a-e-f-e-r, attorney for Egermayer, said the Omaha insurance executive will plead not guilty. Egermayer was convicted of drunken driving in February 1988, according to World-Herald files. His license was suspended for 60 days, and he as placed on probation for 180 days. You can see that he was at a gathering of these elite people. He's on the Game and Parks Commission. He was almost double the amount that is considered legally intoxicated in a driver. He is an executive with an insurance company and the insurance people were the ones in support of Senator Hudkins' bill all over the country because they talked about how you need to lower the amount of alcohol that is necessary to determine that somebody is legally intoxicated. Now, why do I call my amendment a compromise? The current level that would lead to a person being deemed intoxicated while driving is .10. Mr. Egermayer tested at .203. I'm going to knock off the 3 on the end, and I'm going to come down right between them. What I was going to do was let the slobs, s-l-o-b, as in boy, remain at .10, or even let that drop to .08, and then create this special category for the elitists giving acknowledgement to the fact that they're in that special class. But I got to thinking that the courts may look at that and say, although the Legislature deems it an appropriate classification and we would agree that these individuals in that class are elitists, they are above everybody else, we do not deem it to be an appropriate classification for purposes of enacting a law, especially one that will be criminal in nature; therefore, we have to strike that classification down. You cannot have .08 for all the slobs, the blue collars, the no collars, the frayed collars, and then .200 for the upper crust. We got to find something in between the bottom crust and the upper crust, and that somewhere in between is .150, and we need to start looking at these people who I was kind of chastised for on the floor for being critical of them who are appointed to the commission. I feel that it is a haven for the good old boys. It's becoming an elitist operation. I'm talking about the commission of the Game and Parks, and I intend to continue looking at that operation and any time they do wrong I'm going to bring it up. I saw an editorial praising Senator Quandahl's bill, which wants to go after young people for alcohol problems, and they don't even have to rise to the level of .08. Point zero two, he got an